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Overview

1. 2022 South Korean Presidential elections
2. Failure of Washington’s North Korea Policy
3. Democratic Party (liberal) vs. People Power Party (conservative)
4. Lee’s proactive engagement vs. Yoon’s pre-emptive aggression
5. The US anti-China campaign and the Korean peninsula
6. Alternative: mass movement to prevent nuclear conflict
2022 South Korean Presidential Elections

1. Right-wing support based largely on “North Korean threat”
2. Conservative victory would raise inter-Korean tensions
3. Liberal win would enhance opportunities for peace
4. US emphasis on China-North Korea containment will severely constrain any new South Korean administration
Failure of Washington’s North Korea Strategy

- Maximum pressure and sanctions
  - The North has advanced its nuclear capabilities regardless

- US-DPRK negotiations under Trump

- The “Korean Tragedy”
  - The South continues to subordinate security and self-determination to the US

- Pyongyang’s self-imposed moratorium on ICBM, nuke tests

- US containment policy as the main roadblock to rapprochement
우리가 언론사가 되어야 합니다.

우리가 소식을 전하고 우리의 진실을 알리고,
저들의 잘못을 우리의 카톡으로, 우리의 뉴스방으로,
커뮤니티에 열심히 글을 열심히 써서
언론이 묵살하는 진실을 알리고, 왜곡된 정보를 고치시다.
여러분, 이기 없으면 양모로 하는 걸이다.
세상이 잘못되어 가면 우리 손으로 고치면 됩니다.
여러분과 함께 확실하게 더 새로운 나라, 오늘보다 내일이 더 기대되는 나라,
청년들이 친구와 같이 될 정도로 전쟁이 아니라 협력하며 도전할 수 있는
희망 넘치는 나라. 기회 넘치는 나라.
여러분과 함께 곧 만들도록 하겠습니다. 고맙습니다.

-부산 BIFF 광장에서 이재명 더불어 민주당 대선후보-
Lee Jae-myung
- Pursuing national interest-focused pragmatism
- Seeking to play driver's role between US, North Korea
- Engaging in balanced diplomacy in US-China rivalry
- Taking two-way approach toward Japan

Yoon Suk-yeol
- Enhancing ROK-US comprehensive strategic alliance
- Expanding extended deterrence against North Korea
- Seeking policies to open and reform North Korea
- Sharing values and interests and creating mutual trust with Japan
Democratic Party vs. People Power Party

Lee Jae-myung

- Supports reviving Kaesong Complex, Kumgang tourism, and Inter-Korean railway
- Supports lifting sanctions on the North
- Refrains from taking sides between Washington and Beijing
- Opposes joint ROK-US-Japan military alliance
- Supports End of War declaration

Yoon Suk-yeol

- Wants to contain North Korea
- Supports preemptive strike against North
- Favors deploying US nukes in the South and/or a nuke-sharing deal with Washington
- Supports more US THAAD missile batteries
- Opposes End of War declaration
한반도 종전선언
획기적인 남북관계 발전의 전환점입니다.

문재인 대통령이 UN 총회 기조연설에서 강조한 ‘한반도 종전선언’을 환영합니다.

한반도 비핵화와 평화체제 구축은 민주정부 역사의 가장 큰 줄기입니다.

종전선언이 실행되면 한반도 리스크는 사라지고, 남북간 협력의 시너지는 한층 높아질 수 있습니다.

종전선언이 대화의 입구라면 한반도 비핵화와 평화체제 구축은 대화의 최종 출구입니다.

문재인 대통령님의 노력이 성과가 날 수 있도록 적극 협력하겠습니다. 주춧돌을 놓고, 탁월한 기항을 세우며, 서까래도 울리겠습니다.

‘한반도 평화 구현’ 이재명이 하겠습니다.

1 이재명은 합니다
더불어민주당 제20대 대통령 경선후보
Yoon Suk-yeol doubles down on preemptive strike to stop North Korean attack

Conservative presidential candidate says North Korea the 'main enemy' as opponents accuse him of anti-communist populism

Jeongmin Kim  January 17, 2022

Image: Yoon Suk-yeol presidential campaign team | Yoon holding the People Power Party flag
## 당선 가능성

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>당선 가능성</th>
<th>단위: %</th>
<th>1월 15<del>16일 조사, ( )은 2021년 12월 30</del>31일</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>이재명 더불어민주당 후보</td>
<td>44.4(48.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>윤석열 국민의힘 후보</td>
<td>32.9(28.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>안철수 국민의당 후보</td>
<td>4.0(1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>심상정 정의당 후보</td>
<td>0.1(0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>기타</td>
<td>0.7(0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>임용/무응답</td>
<td>17.9(20.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※중앙일보가 엘브레인피플렉에 의뢰해 1월 15~16일 1006명 유. 무선 전화 면접조사 신뢰수준 95%에 표본오차 ±3.1포인트

### 국가경제를 가장 잘이끌 것 같은 후보

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>후보</th>
<th>순위</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>이재명</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>윤석열</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>안철수</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>심상정</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>기타</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>임용/무응답</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The JoongAng
Likely Outcomes

Lee Presidency

- Push for UN sanction waivers to reopen Kaesong Industrial Complex
- Engagement with Pyongyang
- Development of stable China-Russia-DPRK alliance
- Pragmatic US-ROK alliance

Yoon Presidency

- Increased inter-Korean tensions
- Regional destabilization
- Nuclear arms race
- Adverse effect on US goal of nuclear non-proliferation
Views of Progressive Experts

The US security establishment regards tension on the Korean Peninsula as a vital component of its strategy to contain China, and the US would never permit peace in Korea as long as its hegemonic rivalry with China persists….The US is still actively waging war through sanctions and military readiness drills.
Tim Beal

The Biden administration’s pivotal foreign policy objective is to coerce Asian nations to join its fanatical anti-China campaign, forcing South Korea to the forefront of a new US-led regional containment coalition.
Gregory Elich
A New Crisis on the Korean Peninsula

- Escalating China-US strategic rivalry
- Intensifying US military posture in South Korea
  - Pressure on Seoul to join US strategic effort to hem in China
- Increased North Korean nuclear and missile capabilities
  - Pyongyang has not tested nuclear weapons or ICBMs since 2017
  - But it has made progress toward building more advanced weapons
- Dangerous arms race and increased tensions
US Defense Budget

Source: Peter G. Peterson Foundation, 2021
South Korea is one of the fastest growing large defence markets in the world, according to Janes Defence Budgets. (Janes Defence Budgets)
Historian E. P. Thompson, in an activist role, argued passionately and effectively that citizen-activists could force their governments to disarm and break down the cold war bloc system by mobilizing opposition both to new nuclear weapons and to the cold war more generally.

David Meyer
US-Korean Popular Solidarity for Peace

- Situation in NE Asia today parallels that of early 1980s Europe
  - Fear that US and USSR could fight a “limited” nuclear war
- Possibility of a regional war in NE Asia
  - Without a visible peace movement, a limited war may break out
- Secure a “no first strike” pledge from the US
- Work toward a nuclear-free Korean Peninsula, demilitarization of the economy, Korean reunification and Northeast Asian regional autonomy
The Peace Movement Won the INF Treaty. We Must Fight to Preserve It.

In the 1980s, millions of antinuclear activists took to the streets, forcing Western governments to respond to our demands. We can do the same now.

By David Cortright

November 14, 2018

Hundreds of thousands of demonstrators gather in Central Park in New York City for a nuclear disarmament rally on June 12, 1982. (AP Photo / Bay Stubblebine)
Conclusion

- A liberal administration will create opportunities for engagement, while a conservative administration will raise tensions.

- Regardless of who takes power in 2022, Biden’s policy of containing China and North Korea will significantly constrain policy options for South Korea.

- “US peace activists need a multi-year plan to contest US neo-imperial power on the Korean Peninsula and in Northeast Asia.”
Thank you!